

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/691,828 | 10/23/2003 | Steven Paul Randall | K315.132.101 | 6336 |
| 25281 | 7590 03/04/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| DICKE, BIL FIFTH STRE | LIG & CZAJA, P.L.L ET TOWERS | C. | но, на | DINH |
| 100 SOUTH FIFTH STREET, SUITE 2250 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| MINNEAPOL | MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402 | | 3681 | |

DATE MAILED: 03/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | / | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | | 10/691,828 | RANDALL, STEVEN PAUL | | | | |
| \ | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| _ | | Ha D. Ho | 3681 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication | n appears on the cover sheet w | th the correspondence address | | | | |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any | IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C If SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicative is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days. Defined for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ron. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AE | eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. SANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | • | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | 23 October 2003. | | | | | |
| 2a)□ | This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3)[| Since this application is in condition for al | lowance except for formal matt | ers, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| • | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5)□ | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)□ | Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8)⊠ | Claim(s) 1-23 are subject to restriction an | d/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Exa | aminer. | | | | | |
| 10) | ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection t | o the drawing(s) be held in abeyar | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c | orrection is required if the drawing | (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the | he Examiner. Note the attached | d Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| a) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B See the attached detailed Office action for | ments have been received. ments have been received in A e priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | pplication No received in this National Stage | | | | |
| Attachmer | nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) ☐ Interview 9 | Summary (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) 🔲 Notic | ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 | 8) Paper No(| s)/Mail Date | | | | |
| | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S er No(s)/Mail Date | 5B/08) 5) | nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/691,828 Page 2

Art Unit: 3681

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-6 and 21-23 are, drawn to an electro-mechanical transmission system, classified in class 475, subclass 5.
 - II. Claims 7-20 are, drawn to a method of operating an electro-mechanical transmission system, classified in class 477, subclass 3.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of groups I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed in group I can be used to practice another and materially different process. For example, driving a gear element of one of first and second gear sets by one of electric machines based on the speeds of the input and output shafts.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - Species 1 page 4, line 14 to page 5, line 4;

Application/Control Number: 10/691,828

Page 3

Art Unit: 3681

- Species 2 page 5, lines 5-7;
- Species 3 page 5, lines 11-12;
- Species 4 page 5, lines 13-18;
- Species 5 page 5, lines 19-22;
- Species 6 page 6, line 22 to page 7, line 1;
- Species 7 page 9, lines 10-13; and
- Species 8 page 9, lines 14-17;
- 5. Upon election of one of groups I and II, Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.
- 6. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 7. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 8. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Application/Control Number: 10/691,828

Art Unit: 3681

ş

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ha D. Ho whose telephone number is (703) 305-0738 (or 571-

272-7091 after April 2005). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor can be reached on (703) 308-0830 (or 571-272-7095

after April 2005). The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or

proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9326 for regular communications.

11. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HDH (703) 305-0738 March 2, 2005 HAHO PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 3681

3/2/05

Page 4